Reconstituted Wood Products

Sub-sector Description

Facilities in this sub-sector manufacture reconstituted wood products. Products can include hardboard, particleboard, insulation board, medium-density fiberboard, wafer-board, and oriented strandboard.

Facility Type	SIC	NAICS
Reconstituted wood products	2493	321219

Process Information



Energy Use¹



Benchmarks

Thermal and electrical benchmarks were unable to be reliably derived from facility-specific energy use, sales, employee numbers, and area data. For more information about the benchmarking study that MnTAP conducted and how to determine if your facility may have energy efficiency opportunities remaining, view the report Web pages at http://www.mntap.umn.edu/resources/DOC/index.html.

Savings Potential

Opportunities and technologies for energy conservation were identified for facilities within this sub-sector. Industry case studies and reports of implementation were used to determine what opportunities may be available and achievable savings from those opportunities. However, additional energy conservation measures may apply to your facility. The tables on Page 2 of this summary reflect a number of energy conservation measures available for this sub-sector.

Estimated Electric Savings: 17%

Energy Use Footprints







Minnesota Technical Assistance Program

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

Fuel Savings Estimate and Opportunities

MnTAP researched and analyzed this sub-sector for an electric utility. Therefore, fuel savings opportunities and an estimate of potential savings were not identified as part of MnTAP's industrial energy efficiency study.

Electric Savings Estimate and Opportunities

Improvement / Opportunity	Estimated Payback	Reported Savings	Overall Savings	
Process Improvements and Optimization				
Utilize energy-efficient belts and other improved mechanisms ³	1-2 years	0-3%]	
Install compressor air intakes in coolest locations ⁴	< 1 year	0-1%]	
Use ASDs to replace mechanical drives ⁵	2 years	1-4%]	
Replace hydraulic / pneumatic equipment with electric equipment ⁶	< 1 year	2-5%		
Eliminate damper induced airflow restrictions, install new high efficient fan motors at lower power and reduce fan speed ⁷	< 1 year	3-8%		
Install a compressor control sequencer to maximize efficiency of multiple compressor system ⁸	2-5 years	1-2%		
Fix compressed air leaks to allow reduction in pressure9	< 1 year	1-2%]	
Use more aspen and less maple and birch species (uses less electricity at waferizer)				
TOTAL ELECTRIC SAVINGS ESTIMATE				

References

- ¹ IAC Industrial Assessments; DOE, http://iac.rutgers.edu/database/
- ² Adapted from "Energy Demand in Wood Processing Plants." J. Li, M. McCurdy, S. Pang. (2006)
- ³ IAC Industrial Assessments; DOE, http://iac.rutgers.edu/database/findassessment.php?ID=OR0332
- ⁴ IAC Industrial Assessments; DOE, http://iac.rutgers.edu/database/findassessment.php?ID=ME0172
- ⁵ IAC Industrial Assessments; DOE, http://iac.rutgers.edu/database/findassessment.php?ID=DS0168
- ⁶ IAC Industrial Assessments; DOE, http://iac.rutgers.edu/database/findassessment.php?ID=WI0183
- ⁷ "Forest Products: Improving Several Fan-Driven Systems in an Oriented Strand Board Manufacturing Facility"
- ⁸ "Energy Efficiency Opportunities in the Solid Wood Industries." Carroll-Hatch (International) LTD, January 1996.
- ⁹ "Status of Energy Use in The Wood Products Sector." J. Meil, L. Bushi, P. Garrahan, et. al., March 2009.

MnTAP is a non-regulatory program in the School of Public Health at the University of Minnesota and is funded by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. The University of Minnesota is an equal opportunity educator and employer. © 2010 MnTAP. Reprint only with permission from MnTAP. Available in alternative formats upon request. 🏵 Printed on recycled paper containing 100% post-consumer waste.

Primary Sawmills

Sub-sector Description

Sawmills primarily saw dimension lumber, boards, beams, timbers, poles, ties, shingles, shakes, siding, and wood chips from logs or bolts. The facilities may also plane rough lumber that is made on-site with a planing machine to achieve smoothness and uniformity of size.



Process Information



Energy Use¹



Benchmarks

Thermal and electrical benchmarks were unable to be reliably derived from facility-specific energy use, sales, employee numbers, and area data. For more information about the benchmarking study that MnTAP conducted and how to determine if your facility may have energy efficiency opportunities remaining, view the report Web pages at http://www.mntap.umn.edu/resources/DOC/index.html.

Savings Potential

Opportunities and technologies for energy conservation were identified for facilities within this sub-sector. Industry case studies and reports of implementation were used to determine what opportunities may be available and achievable savings from those opportunities. However, additional energy conservation measures may apply to your facility. The tables on Page 2 of this summary reflect a number of energy conservation measures available for this sub-sector.

Estimated Electric Savings: 19%

Energy Use Footprints







Minnesota Technical Assistance Program UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

Fuel Savings Estimate and Opportunities

MnTAP researched and analyzed this sub-sector for an electric utility. Therefore, fuel savings opportunities and an estimate of potential savings were not identified as part of MnTAP's industrial energy efficiency study.

Electric Savings Estimate and Opportunities

Improvement / Opportunity	Estimated Payback	Reported Savings	Overall Savings	
Process Improvements and Optimization				
Utilize energy-efficient belts and other improved mechanisms ³	1-2 years	1-3%		
Size electric motors for peak operating efficiency ⁴	1-2 years	4-6%		
Use most efficient type of electric motors ⁵	3.5 years	0-2%		
Use multiple speed motors or ASDs for variable pump, blower and compressor loads ⁶	1-2 years	3-8%		
Install a compressor control sequencer to maximize efficiency of multiple compressor system ⁷		1-3%		
Fix compressed air leaks to allow reduction in pressure ⁷	< 1 year	2-3%		
TOTAL ELECTRIC SAVINGS ESTIMATE				

References

- ¹ IAC Industrial Assessments; DOE, http://iac.rutgers.edu/database/
- "Status of Energy Use in The Wood Products Sector." J. Meil, L. Bushi, P. Garrahan, et. al., March 2009.
- ³ IAC Industrial Assessments; DOE, http://iac.rutgers.edu/database/findassessment.php?ID=SU0246
- ⁴ IAC Industrial Assessments; DOE, http://iac.rutgers.edu/database/findassessment.php?ID=OR0166
- ⁵ IAC Industrial Assessments; DOE, http://iac.rutgers.edu/database/findassessment.php?ID=OR0463
- ⁶ IAC Industrial Assessments; DOE, http://iac.rutgers.edu/database/findassessment.php?ID=MA0496
- ⁷ "Energy Efficiency Opportunities in the Solid Wood Industries." Carroll-Hatch (International) LTD, January 1996.

MnTAP is a non-regulatory program in the School of Public Health at the University of Minnesota and is funded by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. The University of Minnesota is an equal opportunity educator and employer. © 2010 MnTAP. Reprint only with permission from MnTAP. Available in alternative formats upon request. 🏵 Printed on recycled paper containing 100% post-consumer waste.

Secondary Millwork

Sub-sector Description

In this sub-sector, facilities manufacture a variety of wood products: hardwood and softwood cut stock and dimension stock (i.e., shapes); wood windows and doors; and other millwork including wood flooring. Equipment used in these facilities includes woodworking machinery such as jointers, planers, lathes, and routers to shape wood.

Facility Type	SIC	NAICS	
Secondary Millwork	2431	321214	

Process Information



Energy Use¹



Benchmarks

Thermal and electrical benchmarks were unable to be reliably derived from facility-specific energy use, sales, employee numbers, and area data. For more information about the benchmarking study that MnTAP conducted and how to determine if your facility may have energy efficiency opportunities remaining, view the report Web pages at http://www.mntap.umn.edu/resources/DOC/index.html.

Savings Potential

Opportunities and technologies for energy conservation were identified for facilities within this sub-sector. Industry case studies and reports of implementation were used to determine what opportunities may be available and achievable savings from those opportunities. However, additional energy conservation measures may apply to your facility. The tables on Page 2 of this summary reflect a number of energy conservation measures available for this sub-sector.

Estimated Electric Savings: 16%

Energy Use Footprints







Technical Assistance Program

University of Minnesota

Fuel Savings Estimate and Opportunities

MnTAP researched and analyzed this sub-sector for an electric utility. Therefore, fuel savings opportunities and an estimate of potential savings were not identified as part of MnTAP's industrial energy efficiency study.

Electric Savings Estimate and Opportunities

Improvement / Opportunity	Estimated Payback	Reported Savings	Overall Savings	
Process Improvements and Optimization				
Utilize energy-efficient belts and other improved mechanisms ³	1-2 years	1-2%		
Reduce the pressure of compressed air to the minimum required by repairing leaks ⁴	< 1 year	4-6%]	
Switch radial fan from "dirty" air side to "clean" air side backwardly inclined fan in low pressure sawdust conveying system, reduce motor size and flow ⁵	1–2 years	2-4%		
Use multiple speed motors or ASDs for variable pump, blower and compressor loads ³	1-2 years	1-3%]	
Install a compressor control sequencer to maximize efficiency of multiple compressor system		1-2%		
TOTAL ELECTRIC SAVINGS ESTIMATE				

References

¹ IAC Industrial Assessments; DOE, http://iac.rutgers.edu/database/

² "Reduce Energy Use at Lumber & Wood Processing Facilities". Efficiency Vermont. 2010. http://www.efficiencyvermont.com/stella/filelib/EVT_lumbertechFinal.pdf ³ IAC Industrial Assessments; DOE, http://iac.rutgers.edu/database/findassessment.php?ID=NC0279

⁴ IAC Industrial Assessments; DOE, http://iac.rutgers.edu/database/findassessment.php?ID=AS0407

⁵ "Energy Efficiency Opportunities in the Solid Wood Industries." Carroll-Hatch (International) LTD, January 1996.

MnTAP is a non-regulatory program in the School of Public Health at the University of Minnesota and is funded by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. The University of Minnesota is an equal opportunity educator and employer. © 2010 MnTAP. Reprint only with permission from MnTAP. Available in alternative formats upon request. 🏵 Printed on recycled paper containing 100% post-consumer waste.

> MnTAP • 200 Oak Street SE, Suite 350 • Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455-2008 612.624.1300 • 800.247.0015 (Minnesota only) • FAX 612.624.3370 • www.mntap.umn.edu