Conservation of Commercial Irrigation in the City of Woodbury

Benjamin Heinle

MnTAP Advisors: Miriam Yee & Mick Jost

Site Supervisor: Jim Westerman

University of Minnesota

Driven to Discoversm



City Overview

- 1844: First settlers
- 1858: Organized township
- 1859: Name change
- 1967: Village incorporation
- Today
 - 66,807 Residents
 - 25,137 Housing Units
 - 420 Commercial Properties
 - 35.56 Square Miles
 - 2.7 Billion Gallons Annually



Woodbury's Water Use (Gallons)



Motivations to Change

Aquifer sustainability

- Aquifer test
- Ground water management
- Groundwater
 - Precious resource
 - <u>One</u> alternative



North & East Metro Groundwater Management Area Plan



Reasons for MnTAP Assistance

- Focused on water conservation of commercial properties
- Outdated irrigation equipment
- Pilot irrigation technologies
- Generate conservation data
- Identify interested commercial properties



Approach

- Researched irrigation technologies
- Monitored two test sites
- Analyzed commercial utility reports
- Outreached to properties
- Presented recommendations



Determining Opportunities for Improvement

- Supervisor
 recommendations
- Inspection of irrigation systems
- Collaboration with irrigation experts
- Financial benefits





Irrigation Schedule

- Opportunity: Irrigation controllers
 - Arbitrary schedule
 - Watering because it's Tuesday
 - Often unmanaged
 - Every zone every cycle
 - What's rain?





Irrigation Schedule

- Solution
 - Evapotranspiration (ET) controller upgrade
- Benefits
 - Based on ET
 - Weather data
 - Landscape data
 - Plant data
 - Compute soil moisture
 - · Zones that need it





Controller Test Site



- Clock-schedule
- ET watering basis
 - Data gaps
- Savings
 - Percent
 - Gallons
 - Time
 - Payback

Water Pressure

- Opportunity: Master valve/sprinkler heads
 - City water pressure
 - Optimal water pressure
 - Difference in pressure
 - Mist
 - Distribution
 - Harmful to plants



http://www.allianceforwaterefficiency.org/Irrigation_Sys tem_Maintenance_Introduction.aspx



Pressure Regulator Test Site

- Irrigation audit
 - Distribution of catch-cans
 - Run system
- System efficiency

$$EF = \left(\frac{\bar{V}_{LQ}}{\bar{V}_{Tot}}\right) * 100$$

 \bar{V}_{LQ} = Average volume of the lower quartile

 $\bar{V}_{Tot} = Average \ total \ volume$



Irrigation experts provided consultation for process

Water Pressure

Solution

- Master valve regulation
- 30 psi regulator
- Solenoid restriction
- Savings
 - Pressure dependent
 - Test site: ~ 35%
 - 1 million gallons example





Outreach

Property	Upgrade	Pay Back Period (Months)	Annual Savings (gallons)	Annual Savings	Status
1	ET	14	360,000	\$1,024	Recommended
	PR	1	265,000		
2	ET	4	679,000	\$1,930	Recommended
	PR	1	499,000		
3	ET	6	529,000	\$1,504	Recommended
	PR	1	389,000		
4	ET	2	1,076,000	\$3,058	Recommended
	PR	1	791,000		
5	ET	2	1,056,000	\$3,001	Recommended
	PR	1	776,000		
6	ET	3	427,000	\$1,214	Recommended
	PR	1	314,000		
	Totals	37	7,160,000	\$11,731	



City-Wide Projections (Gallons)



Additional/Future Ideas

- Standardize irrigation use
 - Mapping irrigable property
 - Compare and contrast
- Outreach to residents
 - Personal investment
 - Outreach simplicity
 - Management levels





Personal Benefits

- Communication skills
- Coordinating
- Developed relationships
- Education
- Governmental processes
- Independence





Thank you

- MnTAP
 - Mick Jost
- Miriam Yee
- Linda Maleitzke
- MnTAP Organization
- City of Woodbury
 - Jim Westerman
- Engineering Department



Questions?

