Hospitality Energy Efficiency: Radisson Hotel & Water Park of America

Jill Eide MnTAP Advisor: Sarah Haas



UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

Company Overview

- Hotel: Lodging for leisure and business travel
 - 403 rooms
 - 77% annual occupancy
 305,800 people/year
- 2.1 people/room
- Water Park: Amusement for families and kids



Minnesota Technical Assistance Program

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

Motivations for Change

- Large annual utility bills
 - Energy efficiency directly impacts the profit margin
- Corporate social responsibility
 - Reduce environmental and health impacts on the local community

Reasons for MnTAP Assistance

Identify new opportunities for energy reduction

- Many energy conservation practices exist
 - Energy management system (EMS)
 - Variable frequency drives (VFDs) on water park pump/motor combos
 - Compact florescent lighting (CFL) in guest hallways and rooms
 - Low flow shower and sink fixtures in guestrooms



Approach

- 1. Analyzed utility bills to determine high energy processes
- 2. Interviewed staff regarding processes
 - Determined maintenance and efficiency practices
 - Identified gaps & "nuisances"
- **3**. Researched and identified energy efficient opportunities

Approach





Public Lighting

- Background: Common areas use incandescent, halogen and CFL bulbs
- Problem: High electrical bills & constant maintenance with light bulb replacement



Minnesota Technical Assistance Program

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

Public Lighting

Implementation Cost	ntation Annual Saving Dollars kWh		Payback (months)	Status		
\$10,300	\$18,000	183,600	7 months*	Implementing 8/11		
*Includes Xcel rebate						

Solution: Upgrade current lighting

- LEDs have longer life, no mercury, and greater efficiency
- CFLs with lower watt & less mercury



Energy Management System

- Background: EMS in place to control humidity, CO₂, Chlorine, temperature, and pressure in the water park
- Problem:
 - 1. Energy Management System
 - altered settings
 - faulty sensors & control boards
 - 2. Building Damage
 - peeling paint
 - inability to adequately cool spaces

Minnesota Technical Assistance Program

Energy Management System

Implementation Costs	Annual Energy Savings		Annual Cost Savings	Payback Period (months)	Status	
	Dth	kWh	Ū			
\$4,400	16,500	328,000	\$105,000	<1	Implementing 8/11	

 Solution: Re-commission equipment using third party engineers



Laundry Dry-Time

- Background: Processes before dryer influence dry-time
 - -Boiler functionality
 - -EcoLab test pilot
- Problem: Over-drying linens wastes natural gas



Laundry Dry-Time

Adjust Dryer Time						
Implementation Cost	Annual Saving		Payback	Status		
	Dollars	Dth	(months)			
\$0	\$13,500	2,800	Immediate	Implemented 7/11		

 Solution: Reduce overall dry time based on current laundry room conditions

Boiler Tune-Ups (8)

- Background: Manufacturers recommend annual tuning
- Problem: Systems had not been serviced in approximately 3 years



Boiler Tune-Up

Minnesota Technical Assistance Program

One Time Boiler Tune Ups						
Implementation Cost	Annual S	aving	Payback	Status		
	Dollars	Dth				
\$3,170	\$30,000*	6,300*	2 months*	Fall 2011		
*includes Centerpoint Energy rebate						
Annual Boiler Tune Ups						
Implementation	Savings/minut	te reduced	Payback	Status		
Cost	Dollars	Dth				
Subject to annual change	\$3,000-\$7,000	700-1,500	~1 year	annual		

Solution: Hire third party to perform tune-ups

University of Minnesota

Presentation Summary

Project	Implementation Costs	Annual Energy Savings		Cost Savings	Payback
		Dth	kWh		
Lighting	\$10,300	-	183,600	\$18,000	7 mo
EMS	\$4,440	16,500	328,000	\$105,000	<1 mo
Dry Time	\$0	2,800	-	\$13,500	Immed.
Boilers	\$3,170	6,300	-	30,000*	<2 mo
Total	\$17,910	25,600	511,600	\$166,500	<2 mo

- 29,500 ton reduction of CO₂e emissions
- 13% reduction in annual utility costs



Summary





Additional Recommendations

Project	Implementation Costs	Annual Energy Savings		Cost Savings	Payback	Status
		Dth	kWh			
Ozone	\$190,680	455	-	\$60,900	~3 yr.	Recom.
Acid Wash	\$850	-	50,000	\$4,000	<3 mo.	Recom.
Room Lighting	Unknown	-	149,500	\$12,000	unknown	Recom.
Total	\$209,570+	26,055	711,100	\$244,000	-	-

- 29,650 ton reduction of CO₂e emissions
- 18% reduction in annual utility costs

Bonus: Framing Sustainability

- Employees
 - Cooling tower water quality
 - EMS basic controls
 - Recycling
- Guests
 - Marketing magnets





RECYCLING and SUSTAINARI

Implementation Cost	Saving		Payback (monthe)	Status	
	Dollars	lbs paper	(monuns)		
\$130	\$570	10,000	7 months*	Implemented	

Minnesota Technical Assistance Program

Personal Benefits

- Time management
 - Scheduling
 - Multitasking
- Networking in a professional atmosphere
- Accomplishment
 - Find root problems



Jill Eide eidex058@umn.edu

952-297-2090



Minnesota Technical Assistance Program

University of Minnesota