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Please:

• Ask Questions!
  – At Any Time
  – About Anything

• Share Your Experiences

• Cell Phones Off
  – Voice
  – Text

Agenda

• 8:00 to 8:30 Electric Costs, Baselines, and Benchmarks
• 8:30 to 9:00 Energy Conservation Opportunities
• 9:00 to 9:30 Aeration Systems
• 9:30 to 9:40 Break
• 9:40 to 10:00 Pumping Systems
• 10:00 to 10:30 VFDs and Controls
• 10:30 to 11:00 Payback, Financing Options
• 11:00 to 11:15 Measurement and Verification
• 11:15 to 11:30 Q and A
• 11:30 Adjourn
Is Electric Power Cost Significant for wastewater treatment?

- Energy for WWTP (WRRF) represents 30% to 60% of the typical municipality’s energy use.
- Electric power accounts for 15% to 40% of the operating budget for the typical WRRF.
  - Second only to staffing.
  - The smaller the plant the greater the impact of energy: 35% is typical for small plants.
- Electricity for water and wastewater treatment represent about 4% of the total US electric power use.

YES

Electric Power Cost and Benchmarks

- Electric energy costs are complex.
- They reflect utility costs for generation and distribution.
- Very few operators or managers actually see electric bills.
  - Even fewer understand them.
- Work with your utility’s engineers!
  - They want to help you save energy.
  - Conservation measures may be mandated by law or driven by need to avoid building new generators.
  - They can provide usage history, demand charts, rate details, etc.
- The objective is to reduce COST, not just consumption.
Electric Power Cost and Benchmarks

- **Energy ≠ Power ≠ Electric cost**
- **Energy** = Capacity to do work (kWh, ft-lb)
- **Power** = Work done per unit time (kW, hp)
- **Cost** = Expenditure required to obtain electricity
  - Cost = Money and other outlays (time, CO₂, labor, water ....)

- **Electric Power Cost and Benchmarks**
  - Energy cost usually consists of several components:
    - Time of Day Energy Consumption
      - On-Peak 9:00 AM to 9:00 PM weekdays for typical customer
        - 60 hours per week
      - Off-Peak Weekends and Nighttime
        - 108 hours per week
  - Peak Demand **Power** = Average Power Consumption Over 15 Minutes During On-Peak
  - Power Factor – may be assessed against peak demand
    - if PF < 85%
Electric Power Cost and Benchmarks

• Typical WWTP 1/3 On-Peak $, 1/3 Off-Peak $, 1/3 Demand $

• Billing structures usually vary with the amount of power used
  – Small users like a pump station may have only fixed rate energy charges
    – $0.08 per kWh typical
  – Slightly larger requirements may justify time of day billing
  – Large users like a mid-size treatment plant will probably have demand charges in the billing structure

• The additional revenue must offset the cost of more expensive metering
Electric Power Cost and Benchmarks

- Determining Composite (“average”) power cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Charge</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Cost of</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service and Meter</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Peak Energy</td>
<td>41,000 kWh</td>
<td>$0.08</td>
<td>$3,280</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-Peak Energy</td>
<td>65,000 kWh</td>
<td>$0.07</td>
<td>$4,550</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>280 kW</td>
<td>$8</td>
<td>$2,240</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td></td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>$528.50</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>106,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,099</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Composite Rate $0.1047 /kWh

Electric Power Cost and Benchmarks

A statistician is a man who drowns in a river with an average depth of 3 feet.

Anonymous
Electric Power Cost and Benchmarks

- “Metrics” are parameters or combinations of parameters that allow comparison between measurements from different times and places
  - kWh per degree day
  - Cost per year for electricity
  - kWh per MG (kilowatt hours per million gallons) treated
  - kWh per lb. BOD removed

- A “baseline” is performance developed from operating data and energy cost to establish existing energy consumption

- A “benchmark” is the expected performance for a similar facility employing “best practices”
Electric Power Cost and Benchmarks

- Typical Benchmark:

![Graph showing Wastewater Treatment Plant Typical Benchmark Data]

Energy Conservation Opportunities

- Collect Baseline Energy Cost
- Collect Baseline Process Data
- Record Process Equipment Data
- Benchmark Energy Consumption
- Identify, Prioritize, and Recommend ECMs (Energy Conservation Measures)
Energy Conservation Opportunities

- ECMs are usually implemented based on financial justification alone
- Process benefits are a bonus
- Better Efficiency ≠ Lower Energy
- The Most Efficient System May Not Have Lowest Power Requirement
  - Efficiency Is Often Misinterpreted
  - Gozoutas Divided By Gozintas
- Operation at design point or at steady state is almost non-existent in WWTPs
- You don’t pay for efficiency, you pay for energy and power!

Energy Conservation Opportunities

- Process considerations always take precedence over energy or cost
  - They don’t build plants to save energy!
- Energy improvements usually mean process improvements as well
- Limits on process equipment may limit energy savings
  - Blower and pump turndown
  - Aeration basin mixing
Energy Conservation Opportunities

• Look for continuously running loads
  – 1 year = 8760 hours continuous running
  – 1 year of 40 hours/week = 2080 hours
  – Some utility rebate programs require a minimum of 2080 hours/year to qualify
  – Examples:
    – Influent pumps, RAS pumps

• Seasonal or intermittent loads OK if they create demand charges

• Look for loads that start and stop frequently
  – Demand charges can be significant compared to continuous running
  – May indicate over-sized equipment
  – Examples: Float switch controlled pumps, Belt presses and centrifuges

Energy Conservation Opportunities

• Look for high horsepower loads
  – For a given % savings cost reduction will be higher
  – Cost of ECM may also be higher
  – Examples: Influent pumps, Aeration blowers

• Look for loads where equipment capacity exceeds process current process demand
  – Equipment is often designed for 20 plant year life and worst case operating conditions
  – Sometimes designers specify two units @ 100% worst case design capacity units
  – Might be better to have 4 units @ 33% capacity or 2 @ 50% + 2 @ 25%
  – Turndown capability may be ignored
  – Examples: Lift station pumps, Blowers and mechanical aerators, Booster pumps
Energy Conservation Opportunities

- Integrated Approach Is Essential
- Process impacts must be discussed in ECM justification
- Instrumentation may require upgrading
- Include SCADA and PLC requirements in cost estimates
- Accommodate current and near term loads (hydraulic and organic)
- Adjustability and flexibility are important (turndown)
- Many opportunities require no capital investment
  - Taking aeration tanks out of service, perform operations off-peak

Energy Conservation Opportunities

- Must include time of day and demand in calculations
  - Average wastewater flow During On-Peak Time = 115% of ADF (Average Daily Flow)
  - Average wastewater flow During Off-Peak Time = 85% of ADF
  - Peak wastewater flow (basis of Demand Charge) = 120% of ADF

- Impact on demand charges of starting equipment is exaggerated
  - Demand charge is averaged over 15 minutes
  - Inrush at starting is only seconds (or less)
  - Operation of two loads during “warm up” creates high demand
Energy Conservation Opportunities

• You can reduce cost without reducing energy
  – Take advantage of time of day rates
  – Operate, start and alternate equipment at off-peak times
  – Alternating blowers and pumps
  – Running sludge storage and digestion mixing
  – Testing equipment
    – Notify electric utility **BEFORE** testing
  – Bumping diffusers
  – Treating hauled in wastes
  – Side streams like decanting digesters
    – Equalization can save energy and demand charges
  – Backwashing filters

Energy Conservation Opportunities

• Don’t wait for the next upgrade or permit change to implement ECMs
• Don’t just go by the savings in deciding to implement ECM
  – Payback is important
  – Low capital investment matters
  – Available utility incentives are important
  – Time needed to implement should be considered
• Don’t just use design values for evaluation
• Don’t just use average power cost for evaluation
• Don’t just use nameplate motor horsepower for evaluation
Energy Conservation Opportunities

- Examples:
- Reduce MLSS
- Match number of tanks in service to process needs
- Use equalization basins to limit loading variations to process
  - Influent and sidestream loads
- Flow pace RAS pumping
- Reduce WAS pumping rate and waste on off-peak times
- Thicken WAS before digestion
- Decant digesters on off-peak times

Aeration Systems

- Aeration is the most energy intensive operation in most WWTPs
- Activated sludge is the most common treatment process
  - Hundreds of variations are in operation

![Energy Conservation Opportunities and Aeration Systems Diagram]
Aeration Systems

• Aeration ECMs must consider BOTH ends of the air system
  – Aeration basins and process demand
  – Blower/aerator efficiency

• Must consider type of aeration
  – Diffused
  – Mechanical

• Must consider limitations of equipment and process
  – Blower/Aerator Turndown
  – Diffuser min/max flow
  – Mixing Limits

Efficiently matching O₂ supply to variable loads is the source of many aeration system savings
Aeration Systems

- Process and aeration system limitations are often neglected in energy evaluations
  - Mixing limits in aeration basins
  - Can accomplish with intermittent aeration
  - Max flow for diffusers
  - Min flow for diffusers
  - Tanks must be protected from floating and freezing
  - $O_2$ demand and OTE constantly vary

- Primary objective: supply $O_2$ needed to metabolize waste
- Secondary objective: Do it at the lowest possible energy cost

Aeration Systems

- ECMs include variable speed or On/Off control for mechanical aerators
  - Timer based or DO based
  - Most DO probes have Hi/Lo “alarm” contacts that can control aerators
  - Timers are usually added to limit off time

- Mixing is maintained after aerators turn off
  - Solids re-suspend quickly
Aeration Systems

• With variable speed OTR drops with speed, but power consumption drops off faster

Aeration Systems

• Generally more energy efficient than mechanical aeration
• Fine pore diffusers more efficient than coarse bubble
• Many variations are available
• Most common in new installations is EPDM membrane
Aeration Systems

- More efficient diffusers can save as much as 50% on air demand
- Diffuser performance is usually given as “Standard Oxygen Transfer Efficiency” (SOTE)
  - Standard Conditions:
    - 20°C (68°F) wastewater temperature
    - 0.0 ppm initial DO concentration
    - 14.7 psia barometric pressure
- Performance must be corrected to field conditions for accurate comparison
  - Varies with air flow per diffuser

Aeration Systems

- Blowers typically receive the most attention in aeration ECMs
- Modulating blower air flow to match process demand is the best way to achieve savings
- Blower power consumption is more complex than pumps
  - Type and size of blower influences efficiency
  - Power consumption varies with flow and pressure
  - Power consumption varies with air density for given flow and pressure
  - Flow may be expressed as ICFM or SCFM – makes comparisons difficult
- Energy savings varies greatly with type of control
  - Each type of blower has different requirements for control method
Aeration Systems

- Blowers produce flow, not pressure
- Blower control method is a function of the type of blower
- All types of blowers have limits on safe operation
  - Max pressure – limited by physical damage (both PD and centrifugal) or capability (centrifugal only)
  - Min flow – limited by heat (both PD and centrifugal) or surge (centrifugal)
  - Max flow – limited by motor power or physical limits of bearings and rotors
- Turndown is usually more important than efficiency
  - Most blowers can provide turndown of ≈ 50%
  - Too much air at high efficiency is still wasted energy!

Aeration Systems

- Lobe type positive displacement (PD) blowers are “established” technology (1855)
- Every revolution moves a fixed volume of air
- VFD control is only practical method
Aeration Systems

- Screw type positive displacement (PD) blowers are newer technology, only recently being applied to water/wastewater
- More efficient in many cases than lobe type
- VFD control is most practical method

Aeration Systems

- Three types of centrifugal (dynamic) blowers are in common use
- Multistage is the most common in mid size plants
- Obtain required pressure by series compression
Bowers and Blower Control

- Multistage blowers can be controlled by throttling or VFD
- VFD is much more efficient
  - With VFD power consumption can be close to single stage or turbo blower power

Aeration Systems

- Single stage (geared) centrifugal blowers are generally used in large plants
- Can be controlled by throttling, guide vanes, or VFD
  - VFD most efficient, medium voltage VFDs now cost effective
  - Obtain required pressure with high speed impeller
Aeration Systems

• Turbo blowers are newest technology

• Always have integral controls and VFDs – this is main source of high efficiency

• Technically single stage, they also obtain pressure with high speed impeller

• Direct coupled motors operate at > 60 Hz and high rpm

Aeration Systems

• Turbo blower turndown may be limited

• For any blower specify more than one performance point!
Break Time! Please be back in 10 minutes.

Pumping Systems

- Pumps are the most common equipment in most WWTPs
- Most are centrifugal pumps of one type or another
- Three variables control pump energy
  - Flow rate
  - Pressure
  - Efficiency
- There isn’t much you can do about efficiency in existing pumps
- Flow should be matched to process demands
- Reducing flow may also reduce head
Pumping Systems

What will be the operating flow rate delivered by this pump with an 8-1/2” diameter impeller?

- Pump curves have a lot of info and should be consulted before implementing ECMs

Pumping Systems

- You can’t tell performance without adding the system curve
Pumping Systems

• Variable speed pumping is commonly used to reduce pump power

• Affinity laws:
  – Flow is reduced by ratio of speeds
  – Head is reduced by square of ratio of speeds
  – Power is reduced by cube or ratio of speeds

• Affinity laws must be applied to points on pump curve, not the current operating point!

• System curve affects new operating point
### Pumping Systems

- Pumping continuously at lower flow rate may or may not save cost compared to on/off control at higher flow rate
  - If head is mostly friction: pumping continuously at a lower flow rate will cut energy and power, reducing energy charges and demand charges
  - If head is mostly static lift: power will be reduced but total energy won’t change much
    - If there are demand charges cost will be reduced
    - If there are NOT demand charges cost will be unchanged
- Some considerations for variable speed pump control
  - Periodic high flow operation to flush wet wells and pipes
  - Ramp speed to eliminate water hammer
  - Select pumps with BEP to the right of typical operating speed

---

### Pumping Systems

- If design flows greatly exceed operating flows, sizes should be examined for pumps AND motors
- Replacing or adding smaller pumps may be cost effective
- Changing only some pumps may be feasible
  - Can accommodate seasonal variations
- If actual heads are much lower than design head the pump may operate too far to the right of the curve
  - This isn’t near the BEP (Best Efficiency Point)
  - May also result in overheating, vibration and mechanical damage
- If excess throttling is employed operation too far to the left can have similar issues
Pumping Systems

• Trimming or replacing impellers can be very cost effective
  – Reducing impeller diameter has the same impact as reducing speed
  – Save the old impellers for future flow increases
  – Usually limited to 75% of max diameter
  – Must watch NPSHR (Net Positive Suction Head Required)

• The affinity laws for diameter change are similar to those for speed change

• Trimming only some pumps may be feasible

• The system curve is needed to verify performance

• Always coordinate with pump manufacturer

Pumping Systems

• Many pumps have more capacity than specified design point

• Energy may be saved by installing a larger motor
  – One pump running continuously may be less power than two running intermittently
  – Continuous running may reduce demand charges
Pumping Systems

- It is the differential head across the pump that dictates power draw.
- Power can be saved by raising wet well levels.
- Example: for a typical 700 gpm pump (1 mgd) with power at $0.11 per raising wet well level 1 foot will save almost $200 per year.
- Zero cost to implement.

VFDs and Controls

- The Variable Frequency Drive (VFD) is the technology of choice for most applications.
- Typically 3-Phase Input:
  - 4160 VAC
  - 480 VAC
  - Output Voltage Cannot Exceed Input Voltage
- Available with a wide variety of packaging, enclosures, features, communications options.
- Can be liquid cooled in higher power ratings.
VFDs and Controls

- Converts 60 Hz AC Input to output at required frequency (Hz)
  - Converts AC input to DC
  - Converts DC back to AC

VFDs and Controls

- Most modern VFDs are Pulse Width Modulated (PWM)
- The inductance of the motor attenuates the current waveform to approximate a sine wave.
VFDs and Controls

• Some application considerations for VFDs
  – Most utilities offer incentives for installation
  – Harmonics may be an issue – work with your suppliers
  – Bearing fluting is a rare but severe problem
  – Good grounding and keeping power wiring short minimize problems
  – For positive displacement pumps and blowers constant torque rated VFDs are required
  – VFDs will affect standby generator sizing

VFDs and Controls

• Avoid over-specifying
  – NEMA 1 Enclosures usually adequate
  – Sensorless vector and other advanced controls usually not needed
  – Bypass contactors not usually required on current designs
• Can apply to existing motors if:
  – Motor has Class F insulation or better, has service factor of 1.15, is running at or below rated output
• VFDs limit starting current, provide motor overload protection
VFDs and Controls

- RVSS (Reduced Voltage Solid state Starters) or “soft starts” are not energy savings devices
- Reduce the inrush current and resulting heat induced damage for motors
- Demand savings claims for soft starts are often overstated
  - Inrush is only a few seconds
  - Demand charges are averaged over fifteen minutes

In smaller sizes VFDs may cost less than soft starts
VFDs and Controls

- Controls are often the most cost effective ECMs available
- Capital cost is often low
  - Existing equipment may be used
- Examples:
  - Variable speed control of pumps and blowers
  - Wet well level control for pumps
  - Eliminates on/off cycling at constant speed
  - DO control for aeration
  - Automatic alternation of pumps and blowers during off peak hours

DO Control can be very cost effective
- Excess DO means significantly more aeration power
- Optimizing DO results in optimizing aeration energy
- The difference between saturation DO and actual DO is the "driving force" for oxygen transfer
- The higher the actual DO the lower the driving force and oxygen transfer rate — all other things being equal
VFDs and Controls

- The function of DO control is to match air flow rate supplied by the blowers to the air flow rate demanded by the process
- Typically 25% to 50% savings compared to manual control
- Many variations and refinements are available
  - Most-Open-Valve (MOV) logic
  - Constant pressure or direct flow control based logic
  - Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) or proprietary control logic
  - Individual basin and individual zone control
- Savings come from eliminating excess aeration during low flow periods
VFDs and Controls

- Blower and aeration control logic must be integrated
- For any automated WWTP control use suppliers that understand the process and the equipment
- Include manual overrides for all equipment
- Include connection of new equipment into SCADA

Payback, Financing Options

- An ECM should pay for itself with energy cost savings
- The time allowed for payback varies
- Municipal systems generally allow five years or more
- Industrial systems generally allow two years or less
- Determine the acceptable payback period before beginning energy audit
Payback, Financing Options

- Present worth
  - Can be more accurate for long evaluation periods
  - Requires more assumptions
    - Cost of money
    - Inflation rate
    - More difficult to calculate
- For most ECMs simple payback is preferred:
  \[
  \text{Payback Period, Years} = \frac{\text{Installed Cost}}{\text{Savings per Year}}
  \]
- Graphing calculation results can add clarity
Payback, Financing Options

There are three kinds of falsehoods: lies, damned lies, and statistics.

Mark Twain

- Savings for multiple ECMs must be evaluated correctly

Payback, Financing Options

- You can’t just add:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECM</th>
<th>Savings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Better Diffusers</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO and Blower Controls</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFDs for Blowers</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Efficiency Motors</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Payback, Financing Options

- You can’t just add:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECM</th>
<th>Savings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Better Diffusers</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO and Blower Controls +</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFDs for Blowers</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Efficiency Motors +</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>105%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Will the Electric Company Owe You Money?

Payback, Financing Options

- You can’t just multiply percentages:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECM</th>
<th>Savings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Better Diffusers</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO and Blower Controls x</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFDs for Blowers</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Efficiency Motors x</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>27%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Are the savings only 27% of the original energy?
Payback, Financing Options

- Proper technique:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECM</th>
<th>Savings</th>
<th>1-Savings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Better Diffusers</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO and Blower Controls</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFDs for Blowers</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Efficiency Motors</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multiply the last column: Result=New Power as Percentage of Original 27%

Savings = 1-Result: 73%

Payback, Financing Options

- Most utilities have some incentive programs
  - Sometimes referred to as “rebates”
- May be mandated by legislation
- Usually paid for from utility bills “conservation fund”
- Incentives may be prescriptive or custom
Payback, Financing Options

- Energy audits may be partially paid for as incentives
- Engineering design fees may be partially paid for as incentives
- Contact your local utility representative for info on programs
  - Pre-approval may be required

Payback, Financing Options

- Prescriptive Incentives have a “prescribed” value based on specific criteria

- Example: the utility may pay $35 for each light fixture upgraded from metal halide to high efficiency LED fixture
  - Must be at least 250 Watts
  - Must be in a continuously occupied area
  - New fixture must be less than 155 Watts

- Example: the utility may pay set dollar amount for replacing standard motors with premium efficiency motors
  - Incentive based on nameplate hp
  - Equipment must be used at least 2,000 hours per year
Payback, Financing Options

• Example: the utility may pay $40/hp for putting a VFD on a load that is currently constant speed
  – Equipment must be used at least 2,000 hours per year
  – Load must provide savings at reduced speed – for example, a centrifugal pump, and may require automated process control (not manual control)
  – Incentive may be capped at a percentage of total project cost (30% max for example)
  – Program may be capped at total motor hp (less than 500 hp for example)

Payback, Financing Options

• Custom Incentives provide an incentive as a percentage of project cost provided certain criteria are met
  • Example: the utility may pay up to 50% of the project cost for a process based load, such as replacing old aeration blowers with high efficiency units
    – Usually requires an up front engineering evaluation – which may be partially paid for as part of the incentive
    – Usually requires a payback of more than 1 year
      – If less than 1 year you should do it anyway!
    – Usually requires measurement and verification of saving after project installation
Payback, Financing Options

- Custom Incentives are usually structured to meet utility priorities
- May be based on different criteria
  - Reduction in total energy use
  - Reduction in peak demand
  - Reduction in on-peak energy use
- Incentives usually change on an annual basis
  - It is important to include time limitations in ECM selection

Payback, Financing Options

- Incentive cost justification should be calculated without including incentives
- The utility has ultimate authority on whether or not a project will qualify
- The utility must review the project for incentive qualification before it is started
- The incentive is often critical to getting approval for a project
  - An incentive can move a project from marginal cost effectiveness to “no-brainer” status
  - Improves payback
  - The allure of “free money”
Measurement and Verification

1. Investigate Utility Incentives
2. Obtain Pricing, Including Installation
3. Implement ECM(s)
4. Measure and Verify Savings

A Note About Safety:

Electricity Kills
Measurement and Verification

- Measurement and verification may be simultaneous with testing and tuning
- Serves several purposes
  - Establish a record of the system’s performance for future reference in tuning and problem diagnostics
  - Obtain acceptance by the utility and release of incentive payments
  - Provide verification of contract requirements
  - Provide the data required for a design review for use in refining future estimates and designs
Measurement and Verification

- Must begin planning for verification during project design stages
- Need to take measurements of existing performance to establish baseline
- Determine utility requirements up front
  - May need actual power monitoring
  - Amp readings alone may be adequate, but not as accurate
  - May want measurements over a time period
  - “Snapshot” data may be sufficient
- Measurements may use permanent metering equipment or handheld / temporary test equipment
- Measurement of total plant power probably not good enough

Sub-metering is the best method - using power monitoring

- With portable instruments either verify accuracy or use the same instrumentation for before and after testing

- Don’t use clamp on ammeter for VFD output!
Measurement and Verification

- Incentives may be based on demand reduction or energy reduction
- Notify utility before testing to eliminate demand charges
- Compare before and after data using the same metric:
  - kWh per MG treated
  - kWh per pound of BOD removed
  - Wire to air efficiency of pumps and blowers
  - kWh per gallon pumped
- Use data and experience for ongoing energy conservation efforts!

Q & A
Some Basic Formulas

- Power calculations:

If voltage and current are known:

$$\text{kW} = \frac{\text{Volts} \cdot \text{Amps} \cdot \sqrt{\text{No. Phases}} \cdot \text{Power Factor}}{1000}$$

If actual load power draw is known:

$$\text{kW} = \frac{\text{hp} \cdot 0.746}{\text{efficiency}_{\text{motor}} \cdot \text{efficiency}_{\text{VFD}}}$$

Can get Power Factor from motor data sheets. For estimating Power Factor use 0.90 at 100% load, 0.80 at 50% load.
Some Basic Formulas

- Calculating Savings
  - With Composite Rates
    \[ \text{Annual Savings} = \text{kW} \cdot \frac{8760 \text{ hours}}{\text{year}} \]
  - With actual rates (ignores Power Factor Charges)
    \[ \text{Annual Savings} = \sum \text{On Peak} + \text{Off Peak} + \text{Demand} \]
    \[ \text{On Peak} = \text{kW} \cdot \frac{60 \text{ hours}}{\text{week}} \cdot \frac{52 \text{ weeks}}{\text{year}} \]
    \[ \text{Off Peak} = \text{kW} \cdot \frac{108 \text{ hours}}{\text{week}} \cdot \frac{52 \text{ weeks}}{\text{year}} \]
    \[ \text{Demand} = \text{kW}_{\text{peak}} \cdot \frac{12 \text{ months}}{\text{year}} \]

Some Basic Formulas

- Pump Affinity Law Calculations
  \[ \frac{Q_2}{Q_1} = \frac{\text{rpm}_2}{\text{rpm}_1} \]
  \[ \frac{H_2}{H_1} = \left(\frac{\text{rpm}_2}{\text{rpm}_1}\right)^2 \]
  \[ \frac{P_2}{P_1} = \left(\frac{\text{rpm}_2}{\text{rpm}_1}\right)^3 \]
  \[ Q \quad = \text{flow rate} \]
  \[ H \quad = \text{head} \]
  \[ P \quad = \text{power} \]
Some Basic Formulas

• Pump Power Calculations

\[ kW = \frac{Q \cdot h}{5300 \cdot \eta_p \cdot \eta_m \cdot \eta_{VFD}} \]

- \(kW\) = electric power for pump system
- \(Q\) = flow, gpm
- \(h\) = differential head, ft.
- \(\eta_p\) = pump efficiency, decimal
- \(\eta_m\) = motor efficiency, decimal
- \(\eta_{VFD}\) = drive efficiency, decimal

Some Basic Formulas

• Converting Volume Flow Rate to SCFM (Mass Flow Rate)

\[ Q_s = Q_i \cdot \frac{p_i \cdot 35.92}{T_i} \]

- \(Q_s\) = mass flow rate, standard cubic feet per minute (68 °F, 14.7 psia, 36%RH)
- \(Q_i\) = inlet volumetric flow rate, cubic feet per minute
- \(p_i\) = actual pressure, psia
- \(T_i\) = actual temperature, °R
Some Basic Formulas

• Calculating Turndown

\[
\text{Turndown}\% = \frac{Q_{\text{max}} - Q_{\text{min}}}{Q_{\text{max}}} \cdot 100
\]

\( Q_{\text{max, min}} \) = maximum and minimum safe flow rates, ICFM or SCFM

Some Basic Formulas

• Aeration Calculations

\[
\text{HRT} = \frac{1.795 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot V_t}{Q_{\text{ww}}}
\]

\( \text{HRT} \) = Hydraulic Retention Time, hours
\( V_t \) = Volume of tank, cu ft
\( Q_{\text{ww}} \) = wastewater flow, mgd
Some Basic Formulas

• Aeration Calculations

\[
\text{Required } O_2_{\text{BOD}} = 1.1 \frac{\text{lb}_{\text{O}_2}}{\text{lb}_{\text{BOD}}}
\]
\[
\text{Required } O_2_{\text{NH}_3} = 4.6 \frac{\text{lb}_{\text{O}_2}}{\text{lb}_{\text{NH}_3}}
\]
\[
\text{SCFM} = \frac{0.335 \cdot \text{mgd}}{\text{OTE}} \cdot (1.1 \cdot \text{ppmBOD}_{\text{removed}} + 4.6 \cdot \text{ppmNH3}_{\text{removed}})
\]

Some Basic Formulas

• Blower Power

\[
kW = \frac{Q \cdot p_i \cdot \left(\frac{p_d}{p_i}\right)^{0.283} - 1}{86.88 \cdot \eta_b \cdot \eta_m \cdot \eta_{\text{VFD}}}
\]

- kW = electric power for blower system
- Q = volumetric flow, ICFM
- \(p_i, p_d\) = inlet and discharge pressure, psia
- \(\eta_b\) = blower efficiency, decimal
- \(\eta_m\) = motor efficiency, decimal
- \(\eta_{\text{VFD}}\) = drive efficiency, decimal